

MR. P. SIVARAMA PILLAI: May I know whether any applications from non-Travancoreans were received?

MR. C. V. CHANDRASEKHARAN: Yes. I believe so.

Inspection of Court Fee Inspectors in the District Court and the Second Judge's Court, Nagercoil.

115. ***MR. K. NARAYANAPERUMAL NADAR** (*Tovala cum Agastisvaram*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(a) whether in 1114, Court Fee Inspectors inspected the District Court and the Second Judge's Court in Nagercoil with a view to detect a leakage of Government revenue; and

(b) if the answer is in the affirmative, the amount of leakage in the year 1114 under

- i. Institution fee,
- ii. Process fee,
- iii. Registration fee, and
- iv. Petition fee?

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: (a) Yes.

	Rs.	ch.	c.
(b) (i) Institution fee	654	16	0
(ii) Process fee	123	14	0
(iii) Registration fee	6	21	0
(iv) Petition fee	48	20	0

MR. K. NARAYANAPERUMAL NADAR: May I know the causes for the leakage of revenue?

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: In very many cases, especially in matters relating to institution fees, questions of law are involved and where the head ministerial officer decides a matter in a particular way, the Court Fee Inspectors may come to a different and better decision and such is upheld by the Court. In respect of the other items I think it is due more or less to oversight.

MR. K. NARAYANAPERUMAL NADAR: May I know whether any steps were taken against any officer or clerk responsible for the leakages?

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI: I claim notice, Sir.

Direct appointment of Magistrates.

116 ***MR. P. S. MUHAMED** (*Quilon cum Kottarakara*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

(a) how many times direct appointment of Magistrates was made during the period of last five years;

(b) whether they will be pleased to furnish a list of communities to which the above appointments went;

(c) whether there were Muslim applicants for the recruitment of Magistrates when the appointments were made; and

(d) the reasons for ignoring the claims of the Muslim applicants?

MR. M. K. NILAKANTA AIYAR: (a) Nine.

(b) The list is laid on the table.

(c) Yes.

(d) The applications of Muslim candidates were also duly considered.

MR. P. S. MUHAMED : ഒൻപതു നിയമനങ്ങൾ നടത്തിയതിൽ ഒരു മുസ്ലീമിനേയും നിയമിക്കാതിരുന്നിട്ടുള്ളതിന് പ്രത്യേക കാരണം വല്ലതു മൂണ്ടോ ?

MR. M. K. NILAKANTA AIYAR : അൺ റിപ്രസൻറഡ് ക്ലാസ്സിൽ നിന്നും അഞ്ച് പേർ അപേക്ഷകന്മാരായി ഉണ്ടായിരുന്നവരെ പരീക്ഷിച്ചു മൂന്നു പേരെ തിരഞ്ഞെടുത്തു. തിരഞ്ഞെടുക്കപ്പെട്ടവരിൽ മുസ്ലീം ഉണ്ടായിരുന്നില്ല. വെറെ കാരണം ഒന്നുമില്ല.

Statement, by caste, of officers in the Judicial Department.

117. *MR. P. S. MUHAMED : Will the Government be pleased :

(a) to lay on the table a statement, by caste, of officers in the Judicial Department as—

- (1) High Court Judges ;
- (2) District Court 1st Judges ;
- (3) District Court 2nd Judges ;
- (4) Munsiffs ; and
- (5) Government Pleaders ; and

(b) to state whether there are eligible candidates among the Muslims for the appointment of District Judges, Munsiffs and Government Pleaders ?

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI : (a) The statement † is laid on the table.

(b) Government do not follow what is meant by the "eligibility" of candidates for appointment as District Judges, Munsiffs and Government Pleaders.

Statement, by caste, of appointments of Munsiffs and Government Pleaders.

118 *MR. P. S. MUHAMED : Will the Government be pleased to lay on the table a statement, by caste, of appointments of Munsiffs and Government Pleaders made during the period of last five years ?

MR. PUTHUPALLI S. KRISHNA PILLAI : The statement ‡ is laid on the table.

Statement, by caste, of appointments in the Law College as Lecturers.

119 *MR. P. S. MUHAMED : Will the Government be pleased :

(a) to lay on the table a statement, by caste, of appointments made in the Law College as Lecturers for the period of the last 5 years ; and

(b) to state whether any Muslim applied for the lecturership during the last five years ?

*Vide Appendix V. Page 418.

† Vide Appendix VI. Page 419.

‡ Vide Appendix VII. Page 420.

Appendix IV.

Vide Answer to Question No. 114.

Caste-war Statement of the candidates who applied for admission
and who were admitted to the Diploma Course in Forestry.

Caste.	No. applied.	No. admitted.
HINDU		
1. Brahmin	14	2
2. Nair	39	3
3. Other Specified Hindu	8	2
4. Ezhava	6	1
5. Other Hindu	1	1
MUSLIM	4	1
CHRISTIAN		
1. Jacobite	20	3
2. Marthomite	7	1
3. Syriac Catholic	7	1
4. Latin Catholic	1	1
5. S. I. U. C.	11	1
6. Other Christians	5	...

Appendix V.

Vide Answer to Question No. 116.

Statement showing the communities from which direct
appointments of Magistrates have been made
for the last five years.

A. Hindu

1. Brahmin	...
2. Nair	1
3. Kammala	...
4. Nadar	...
5. Ezhava	2
6. Cheramar	...
7. Other Hindu	3

B. Muslim

C. Christian

1. Jacobite	1
2. Marthomite	...
3. Syriac Catholic	2
4. Latin Catholic	...
5. South India United Church	...
6. Other Christians	...